## NSF RTG Spring 2023 Graduate Training Seminar

## Q3 - Did the Training Seminar improve your research presentation skills?



| Answer | \% | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $90.91 \%$ | 10 |
| Maybe | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| No | $9.09 \%$ | 1 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 11 |

Q5 - Course Logistics Please rate the level of your satisfaction with the following areas (response required):


| $\#$ | Question | Not at all <br> satisfied |  | Somewhat <br> Satisfied |  | Satisfied |  | Very <br> Satisfied | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Course content | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $20.00 \%$ | 2 | $30.00 \%$ | 3 | $50.00 \%$ | 5 |
| 2 | Course time and <br> length | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $40.00 \%$ | 4 | $60.00 \%$ | 6 |

## Q6 - For any areas above you were either not at all satisfied or somewhat satisfied, please explain how they could be improved.

This topic was not very interesting to me, but that's okay! Maybe next semester will have a topic I enjoy more!

Q7-Overall Experience Please rate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements (response required):


| \# | Question | Strongly <br> Disagree |  | Disagree |  | Agree |  | Strongly <br> Agree |  | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | The faculty supported the seminar | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $33.33 \%$ | 3 | $66.67 \%$ | 6 | 9 |
| 2 | The presentations were well-organized | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $33.33 \%$ | 3 | $66.67 \%$ | 6 | 9 |
| 3 | Overall, course sessions and activities <br> added to my content knowledge | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $44.44 \%$ | 4 | $55.56 \%$ | 5 | 9 |

Q31 - Please list the names of people who gave interesting presentations.

Please list the names of people who gave interesting presentations.
Joe Starr, Robert
Robert DeYeso
Robert, Rebecca, Michele
Robert, Joe Starr, and Nicholas

Q33 - Did you learn something potentially valuable for your future research through preparing your presentation?


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | No | $33.33 \%$ | 3 |
| 19 | Maybe | $11.11 \%$ | 1 |
| 20 | Yes | $55.56 \%$ | 5 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 9 |

## Q10 - What other comments would you like to share with us?

What other comments would you like to share with us?

Robert helped students very well for preparation.
I think that people who have background in HF homology followed the content well. However, the number of those people is extremely limited. I think we should have cut one or two of the applications (of the theory) talks, though they were the most interesting, and had one or two talks about the underlying complex geometry lurking in the background. I would wager that most of the participants of the seminar would be unable to define "holomorphic disk" or even "almost complex structure," which is problematic when the differential is "counting holomorphic disks compatible with the almost complex structure." I think we also black-boxed too much of the spin^c structure details. A talk on admissibility conditions would have been valuable.

## Q12 - What is your gender?



## Q28 - Which of these describes you?



## Q13 - What is your racial identity? (click all that apply)



## Q14 - What is your ethnicity?



Q15 - Are you the first member in your immediate family (parents and siblings) to attend college?


| \# | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std <br> Deviation | Variance | Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Are you the first member in your immediate <br> family (parents and siblings) to attend college? | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.89 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 9 |


| $\#$ | Answer | $\%$ | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Yes | $11.11 \%$ | 1 |
| 2 | No | $88.89 \%$ | 8 |
| 3 | Not sure | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
|  | Total | $100 \%$ | 9 |

